Equality Impact Assessment [version 2.9]



Title: Moving Traffic Enforcement Cabinet Report 2022	
☑ Policy ☐ Strategy ☐ Function ☐ Service	⊠ New
☐ Other [please state]	\square Already exists / review \square Changing
Directorate: Growth & Regeneration	Lead Officer name: Duncan Venison
Service Area: Traffic & Highway Maintenance	Lead Officer role: Network Operations
	Manager

Step 1: What do we want to do?

The purpose of an Equality Impact Assessment is to assist decision makers in understanding the impact of proposals as part of their duties under the Equality Act 2010. Detailed guidance to support completion can be found here Equality Impact Assessments (EqIA) (sharepoint.com).

This assessment should be started at the beginning of the process by someone with a good knowledge of the proposal and service area, and sufficient influence over the proposal. It is good practice to take a team approach to completing the equality impact assessment. Please contact the <u>Equality and Inclusion Team</u> early for advice and feedback.

1.1 What are the aims and objectives/purpose of this proposal?

Briefly explain the purpose of the proposal and why it is needed. Describe who it is aimed at and the intended aims / outcomes. Where known also summarise the key actions you plan to undertake. Please use <u>plain English</u>, avoiding jargon and acronyms. Equality Impact Assessments are viewed by a wide range of people including decision-makers and the wider public.

On the 31st May 2022 the Government enacted Part 6 of the Traffic Management Act 2004 which opened the door to Highway Authorities to apply to the Department for Transport (DfT) for the powers to enforce moving traffic offences. Before this time such offences could only be enforced by the Police. Bristol City Council as Highway Authority for the City and County of Bristol intends to make an application to the DfT requesting such powers to undertake moving traffic enforcement in a bid to make Bristol's roads safer for all road users.

1.2 Who will the proposal have the potential to affect?

⋈ Bristol City Council workforce	⊠ Service users	□ The wider community
⊠ Commissioned services	⊠ City partners / Stak	ceholder organisations
Additional comments: This proposal will affect any vehicle users who fails to follow road signing and		
traffic regulation		

1.3 Will the proposal have an equality impact?

Could the proposal affect access levels of representation or participation in a service, or does it have the potential to change e.g. quality of life: health, education, or standard of living etc.?

If 'No' explain why you are sure there will be no equality impact, then skip steps 2-4 and request review by Equality and Inclusion Team.

If 'Yes' complete the rest of this assessment, or if you plan to complete the assessment at a later stage please state this clearly here and request review by the Equality and Inclusion Team.

⊠ No	[please select]
	⊠ No

Step 2: What information do we have?

2.1 What data or evidence is there which tells us who is, or could be affected?

Please use this section to demonstrate an understanding of who could be affected by the proposal. Include general population data where appropriate, and information about people who will be affected with particular reference to protected and other relevant characteristics: https://www.bristol.gov.uk/people-communities/measuring-equalities-success.

Use one row for each evidence source and say which characteristic(s) it relates to. You can include a mix of qualitative and quantitative data e.g. from national or local research, available data or previous consultations and engagement activities.

Outline whether there is any over or under representation of equality groups within relevant services - don't forget to benchmark to the local population where appropriate. Links to available data and reports are here <u>Data, statistics</u> and intelligence (sharepoint.com). See also: <u>Bristol Open Data (Quality of Life, Census etc.)</u>; <u>Joint Strategic Needs</u> Assessment (JSNA); Ward Statistical Profiles.

For workforce / management of change proposals you will need to look at the diversity of the affected teams using available evidence such as <u>HR Analytics: Power BI Reports (sharepoint.com)</u> which shows the diversity profile of council teams and service areas. Identify any over or under-representation compared with Bristol economically active citizens for different characteristics. Additional sources of useful workforce evidence include the <u>Employee Staff Survey Report</u> and <u>Stress Risk Assessment Form</u>

Data / Evidence Source [Include a reference where known]	Summary of what this tells us
Average percentage of people who drive to work – 36.6%	Well over a third of people who work drive which equates to tens of thousands of people. The move to MTE will assist with providing compliance to traffic regulation
Average percentage of people who cycle to work – 18.2%	A very high percentage of vulnerable road users that are at risk by those who do not follow traffic regulation
Average percentage of people who walk to work – 20.5%	A very high percentage of vulnerable road users that are at risk by those who do not follow traffic regulation
Of those that walk to work 17.9% class themselves as disabled	Gives some indication of those that may be at greater risk of drivers committing moving traffic offences
Of those that walk to work 12% are over 65	Gives some indication of those that may be at greater risk of drivers committing moving traffic offences

Additional comments:

Figures are based only on those that drive to work. Data is not available that shows the percentage of drivers, cyclists and walkers in Bristol as a whole. Data does not exist that show what percentage of these groups do not follow traffic regulation.

2.2 Do you currently monitor relevant activity by the following protected characteristics?

☐ Age	☐ Disability	☐ Gender Reassignment
☐ Marriage and Civil Partnership	☐ Pregnancy/Maternity	☐ Race
☐ Religion or Belief	□ Sex	☐ Sexual Orientation

2.3 Are there any gaps in the evidence base?

Where there are gaps in the evidence, or you don't have enough information about some equality groups, include an equality action to find out in section 4.2 below. This doesn't mean that you can't complete the assessment without the information, but you need to follow up the action and if necessary, review the assessment later. If you are unable to fill in the gaps, then state this clearly with a justification.

For workforce related proposals all relevant characteristics may not be included in HR diversity reporting (e.g. pregnancy/maternity). For smaller teams diversity data may be redacted. A high proportion of not known/not disclosed may require an action to address under-reporting.

There is currently no data to show what users and groups currently don't follow traffic regulation and commit offences that will be covered under MTE. Future data will only focus on vehicle drivers as there is no way of capturing offences by non-registered users such as cyclists and e-scooters.

2.4 How have you involved communities and groups that could be affected?

You will nearly always need to involve and consult with internal and external stakeholders during your assessment. The extent of the engagement will depend on the nature of the proposal or change. This should usually include individuals and groups representing different relevant protected characteristics. Please include details of any completed engagement and consultation and how representative this had been of Bristol's diverse communities. See https://www.bristol.gov.uk/people-communities/equalities-groups.

Include the main findings of any engagement and consultation in Section 2.1 above.

If you are managing a workforce change process or restructure please refer to <u>Managing change or restructure</u> (<u>sharepoint.com</u>) for advice on consulting with employees etc. Relevant stakeholders for engagement about workforce changes may include e.g. staff-led groups and trades unions as well as affected staff.

As part of the application to the DfT a 6 week consultation period is required informing of the intention to apply for the powers. This consultation will be city wide and can also include specific equalities groups

2.5 How will engagement with stakeholders continue?

Explain how you will continue to engage with stakeholders throughout the course of planning and delivery. Please describe where more engagement and consultation is required and set out how you intend to undertake it. Include any targeted work to seek the views of under-represented groups. If you do not intend to undertake it, please set out your justification. You can ask the Equality and Inclusion Team for help in targeting particular groups.

Advice will be taken from the Strategic Transport Engagement team on what form consultation will take and who specifically should be targeted. Additional consultation is required whenever a new enforcement is chosen so consultation will always be ongoing meaning previous lessons learned can be considered.

Step 3: Who might the proposal impact?

Analysis of impacts must be rigorous. Please demonstrate your analysis of any impacts of the proposal in this section, referring to evidence you have gathered above and the characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010. Also include details of existing issues for particular groups that you are aware of and are seeking to address or mitigate through this proposal. See detailed guidance documents for advice on identifying potential impacts etc. Equality Impact Assessments (EgIA) (sharepoint.com)

3.1 Does the proposal have any potentially adverse impacts on people based on their protected or other relevant characteristics?

Consider sub-categories (different kinds of disability, ethnic background etc.) and how people with combined characteristics (e.g. young women) might have particular needs or experience particular kinds of disadvantage.

Where mitigations indicate a follow-on action, include this in the 'Action Plan' Section 4.2 below.

GENERAL COMMENTS (highlight any potential issues that might impact all or many groups)		
Whilst the lack of road safety in this area is likely to disproportionately impact people based on their protected		
characteristics, e.g. disab	led people or older people, the proposal will affect all drivers who disregard traffic	
regulation regardless of a	my protected characteristic. No negative impacts have been identified as a result of the	
proposal and only positive	e benefits can be seen in terms of improved road safety for some groups as identified.	
PROTECTED CHARACTER	ISTICS	
Age: Young People	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \boxtimes	
Potential impacts:		
Mitigations:		
Age: Older People	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \boxtimes	
Potential impacts:		
Mitigations:		
Disability	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \boxtimes	
Potential impacts:		
Mitigations:		
Sex	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes ☐ No ☒	
Potential impacts:		
Mitigations:		
Sexual orientation	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \boxtimes	
Potential impacts:		
Mitigations:		
Pregnancy / Maternity	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes ☐ No ☒	
Potential impacts:		
Mitigations:		
Gender reassignment	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \boxtimes	
Potential impacts:		
Mitigations:		
Race	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \boxtimes	
Potential impacts:		
Mitigations:		
Religion or	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \boxtimes	
Belief		
Potential impacts:		
Mitigations:		
Marriage &	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \boxtimes	
civil partnership		
Potential impacts:		
Mitigations:		
OTHER RELEVANT CHARA		
Socio-Economic (deprivation)	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \boxtimes	
Potential impacts:		
Mitigations:		
Carers	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \boxtimes	
Potential impacts:	Does your analysis malcate a disproportionate impact: 1es - No M	
Mitigations:		
wiicigacions.		

Other groups [Please add additional rows below to detail the impact for other relevant groups as appropriate e.g.		
Asylums and Refugees; Looked after Children / Care Leavers; Homelessness]		
Potential impacts:		
Mitigations:		

3.2 Does the proposal create any benefits for people based on their protected or other relevant characteristics?

Outline any potential benefits of the proposal and how they can be maximised. Identify how the proposal will support our <u>Public Sector Equality Duty</u> to:

- ✓ Eliminate unlawful discrimination for a protected group
- ✓ Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't
- ✓ Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't

The proposal will drive greater compliance on Bristol's roads with the benefit of improving road safety. The enforcement of moving traffic offences will benefit those who currently find crossing roads challenging such as the disabled and old and young members of the community. Enforcing banned turns at a junction will reduce the chances of a visually impaired person being hit by a vehicle believing it is safe to cross as indicated by the green man and tactile cone.

Step 4: Impact

4.1 How has the equality impact assessment informed or changed the proposal?

What are the main conclusions of this assessment? Use this section to provide an overview of your findings. This summary can be included in decision pathway reports etc.

If you have identified any significant negative impacts which cannot be mitigated, provide a justification showing how the proposal is proportionate, necessary, and appropriate despite this.

Summary of significant negative impacts and how they can be mitigated or justified: No impacts identified

Summary of positive impacts / opportunities to promote the Public Sector Equality Duty: Great road safety for those with access needs such as disabled and older members of the community.

4.2 Action Plan

Use this section to set out any actions you have identified to improve data, mitigate issues, or maximise opportunities etc. If an action is to meet the needs of a particular protected group please specify this.

Improvement / action required	Responsible Officer	Timescale
Consultation with the public and groups once a decision has been	Duncan Venison	6 weeks consultation
taken to proceed with apply for MTE powers.		following Cabinet
		decision to proceed

4.3 How will the impact of your proposal and actions be measured?

How will you know if you have been successful? Once the activity has been implemented this equality impact assessment should be periodically reviewed to make sure your changes have been effective your approach is still appropriate.

A further consultation exercise could be undertaken with groups that are felt could have had a positive benefit from MTE to see if they have any feedback from group members. This would be anecdotal evidence rather than quantitative evidence. Quantitative evidence could be provided in terms of numbers of offences that have been identified and penalty charge notices issued.

Step 5: Review

The Equality and Inclusion Team need at least five working days to comment and feedback on your EqIA. EqIAs should only be marked as reviewed when they provide sufficient information for decision-makers on the equalities impact of the proposal. Please seek feedback and review from the <u>Equality and Inclusion Team</u> before requesting sign off from your Director¹.

Equality and Inclusion Team Review: Reviewed by Equality and Inclusion Team	Director Sign-Off:
Date: 21/09/2022	Date: 20/10/2022

¹ Review by the Equality and Inclusion Team confirms there is sufficient analysis for decision makers to consider the likely equality impacts at this stage. This is not an endorsement or approval of the proposal.